CARDIOVASCULAR TRENDS 2011: NURSING AND CATH LAB SYMPOSIA

Access Issues and Bleeding Complications

Mauricio G. Cohen, MD, FACC, FSCAl Director, Cardiac Catheterization Lab Associate Professor of Medicine



UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI MILLER SCHOOL of MEDICINE



The Consequences of Thrombosis Definite/Probable ST in Acuity (30 days)



Aoki J et al. Circulation 2009; 119:687-698



Rates of Major Bleeding



- Life-threatening with transfusion ≥2 units
- Life-threatening with decrease in HCT > 10%
- Resulting in death
- Hemorrhagic, subdural hematoma

Moscucci M et al. Eur Heart J 2003;24:1815-23



Factors Associated with Major Bleeding in ACS patients



Moscucci M et al. Eur Heart J 2003;24:1815-23

Risk Factors for Bleeding in PCI

Risk Factors For Bleeding in ACS Patients				
Patient related	Procedure related	Treatment related		
 Female gender Older Hypertension Obesity Low weight Renal failure Low platelet count, pre-existing anemia Medical history (Gl disease) 	 Puncture site (femoral vs radial) Level of puncture (femoral) Larger arterial sheath Prolonged sheath time IABP placement Concomitant venous sheath Need for repeat intervention 	 Excess anticoagulation Type of anticoagulation (antiXa, direct thrombin inhibtor or LMWH and UFH) GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors Thrombolytics 		

ACUITY: Influence of Major Bleeding and MI in the First 30 Days on Risk of Death Over 1 Year Of 13,819 enrolled pts, 524 (3.8%) died within 1 year Cox model adjusted for 36 baseline predictors, with MI and major bleeding (non-CABG) as time-updated covariates



Mehran RM et al. EHJ 2009;30:1457-66

ACUITY: Influence of Major Bleeding and MI in the First 30 Days on Risk of Death Over 1 Year Of 13,819 enrolled pts, 524 (3.8%) died within 1 year Cox model adjusted for 36 baseline predictors, with MI and major bleeding (non-CABG) as time-updated covariates



Influence of MI, Major Bleed and Transfusion in the First 30 Days on the Risk of Death Over 1 Year

			HR (95% CI)	P-value	Attributable deaths
MI	Day 0-1		17.6 (10.8 to 28.7)	<0.001	21
	Days 2-7		8.2 (5.0 to 13.6)	<0.001	19
	Days 8-30		2.9 (1.6 to 5.3)	0.001	12
	Days 31+	-	1.4 (0.9 to 2.1)	0.12	25
Major bleed	Day 0-1		5.5 (2.7 to 11.0)	<0.001	9
(non CABG)	Days 2-7		5.8 (3.5 to 9.7)	<0.001	18
	Days 8-30		5.6 (3.5 to 8.8)	<0.001	24
	Days 31+	-	2.4 (1.7 to 3.3)	<0.001	42
Transfusion	Day 0-1		6.7 (3.1 to 14.7)	<0.001	7
	Days 2-7		8.1 (4.6 to 14.1)	<0.001	15
	Days 8-30		6.4 (3.7 to 10.9)	<0.001	17
	Days 31+		3.1 (2.1 to 4.5)	<0.001	31
		0.5 1 2 4 8 16 32 Hazard ratio (95% CI)			

Attributable deaths = N deaths among pts with the time updated event (attribute) X (adj. HR – 1)/adj. HR

Mehran RM et al. EHJ 2009;30:1457-66

A

ACUITY Costs of In-hospital Complications



Pinto DS et al. JACC 2008;52;1758-1768

Influence of Major Bleeding and MI in the First 30 Days on Risk of Death Over 1 Year

Cox model adjusted for baseline predictors, with non-CABG major bleeding and MI as time-updated covariates



Mehran R et al. Eur Heart J 2009;30:1457-66

Risk for 1 year mortality

- Combined REPLACE-2, ACUITY, HORIZONS-AMI (n=17,393)
- 1-year mortality risk of non-access site bleeding vs access site = HR 2.27 (95%CI 1.42-3.64), p=0.0007



Verheugt JACC Cardio Interv 2011;4:191-7:

Incidence and source of bleeding excluding access site





Verheugt JACC Cardio Interv 2011;4:191-7:

Relative Risk of 1-year Mortality Associated with Bleeding and Source (unadjusted)





Verheugt JACC Cardio Interv 2011;4:191-7:

Mechanisms Linking Bleeding With Increased Mortality





Doyle, B. J. et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:2019-2027

Impact of the Age of PRBC Transfusion After Cardiac Surgery on Outcomes

Cleveland Clinic, June 30, 1998 – January 30, 2006 2,872 pts transfused with 8,872 U of blood stored ≤14 days (mean 11d; "newer blood") and 3,130 pts transfused with 10,782 U stored 15 days – 42 days (mean 20d; "older blood")



Koch CG et al. NEJM 2008;358:1229-1239

Discharge Medication Use in Patients who Bleed: PREMIER Registry (STEMI)

1433 STEMI pts treated with primary stenting

Pts with TIMI major/minor bleed or transfusion (n=160; 12%)
 Pts without in-hospital bleeding (n=1272)



Wang TY et. al. Circulation 2008;118:2139-2145



Bhatt DL. In Braunwald EB, Harrison's Online. 2005.

Reducing Bleeding Risk

Reducing Bleeding Risk: Preventive Actions

Patient level

- Patient information (coughing, heavy lifting to be avoided after femoral puncture)
- Nurse training for early recognition of retroperitoneal hemorrhage
- Blood pressure control

Procedural level

- Perfect puncture site
- Angiographic control before closure device use
- Different access sites for staged procedures
- Decrease size of arterial sheath
- Alternative access site (Radial)

Treatment level

- ACT during procedures for heparin monitoring
- Discontinuation of antithrombin after uncomplicated PCI
- Anticoagulants (Bivalirudin, Fondaparinux)

Transfemoral Advantages

Long history and technically easy to perform
Facilitates the use of larger catheters
Early sheath removal with using closure devices



Transfemoral Disadvantages

- Prolonged bedrest (usually about 4 hrs)
- Associated with more back pain, urinary retention, and neuropathy
- Bleeding (including retroperitoneal hemorrhage)
 - Increased incidence of other vascular complications
- Vascular closure devices allows earlier ambulation but do not decrease vascular complications



Vascular Access











FAUST Trial: CFA Cannulation Success



 Fluoroscopy
 Ultrasound
 P-value

 High stick
 24 (4.9)
 33 (6.6)
 0.25

 CFA
 408 (83.3)
 431 (86.4)
 0.15

 Low stick
 58 (11.8)
 35 (7.0)
 <0.01</td>

Seto A et al. JACC Intv. 2010;3;751-758



Bleeding in PCI patients

Analysis of 10,974 "real world" patients at 3 centers





Kinnaird et al. Am J Cardiol 2003

Radial vs. Femoral Meta-Analysis **Major Bleeding** 23 Trials, n=7,020 – 1980 to 2008

Peto odds ratio and 95% CI

	Radial	Femoral	Peto odds ra
ACCESS	0/300	4/300	(
Achenbach	0/152	4/155	(
Bodi	3/666	7/332	(
BRAFE	0/50	1/55	(
FARMI	3/57	3/57	
Gorge	1/214	1/216	
Mann 1998	0/68	2177	1
OCTOPLUS	1/192	7/185	
OUTCLAS	0/322	1/322	i i
RADIAL AMI	1/25	4/25	1
RADIAMI	3/50	7/50	(
TEMPURA	0/77	2172	(
Vazquez-Rodriguez	1/217	5/222	
	13/2390	48 (2068	1

OR 0.27 (95% CI 0.16, 0.45) P < .001

Eatal, ICH, or $\geq 3 \text{ g/dL}$ hgb drop, or ransfusion, or requiring surgery

Study name



Jolly SS et al. Am Heart J 2009;157:132-40

Radial vs. Femoral Meta-Analysis Death, MI, or Stroke



23∣Trials, n=7,020 – 1980 to 2008

Jolly SS et al. Am Heart J 2009;157:132-40

RIVAL Study Design

NSTE-ACS and STEMI (n=7021)

Key Inclusion:

- Intact dual circulation of hand required
- Interventionalist experienced with both (minimum 50 radial procedures in last year)



Blinded Adjudication of Outcomes

Primary Outcome: Death, MI, stroke or non-CABG-related Major Bleeding at 30 days



Jolly SS et al. Am Heart J. 2011;161:254-60.

Primary and Secondary Outcomes

	Radial (n=3507) %	Femoral (n=3514) %	HR	95% CI	Ρ
Primary Outcome					
Death, MI, Stroke, Non-CABG Major Bleed	3.7	4.0	0.92	0.72-1.17	0.50
Secondary Outcome	S				
Death, MI, Stroke	3.2	3.2	0.98	0.77-1.28	0.90
Non-CABG Major Bleeding	0.7	0.9	0.73	0.43-1.23	0.23



Other Outcomes



L* Post Hoc analysis

RIVAL Subgroups: Primary Outcome

Death, MI, Stroke or non-CABG major Bleed

Overall		p-value
Age		Interaction
<75 >75		0 786
Gender		0.700
Female		0.050
Male		0.356
BMI	그는 그는 그는 것이 가지 않는 것이 없다.	
<25		
20-00 \25		- 0.637
Radial PCI Volume by Operator		
≤70		
70-142.5		
>142.5		0.536
Radial PCI Volume by Centre Lowest Tertile		
Highest Tertile		0.021
Diagnosis at presentation		0.021
NSTE-ACS		
STEMI		0.025
	0.25 1.00	4.00
(n=7021)	Radial better Femoral better	
	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	Jolly et al. Lancet 20

11

Economics of Radial Access

Vascular complication

- Prolonged hospital stay (~ 3 days)
- Incremental cost: \$6,400

Bleeding complication (Incremental cost)

- GUSTO IIb
 - Mild/severe bleed \$3,770Transfusion \$2,080
- REPLACE-2
 - Major bleed

\$6,300

Diagnostic Cath

- Saves \$290 per case
 - Driven by lower nursing utilization and pharmacy costs

Nursing Workload

- Femoral: 174 [134–218] min
- Radial: 86 [58–126] min, (p < 0.001)</p>

Kugelmass AD et al. Am J Cardiol 2006;97:322-7 Rao SV et al. Am Heart J 2008;155:369-74 Cohen DJ, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;44:1792-800 Cooper CJ et al. Am Heart J 1999;138:430-6 Amoroso G et al. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2005;4:234-41

Why Radial? The Disadvantages

- Catheter manipulation needed for coronary cannulation
- Learning curve ~ 100 cases
- Failure to reach the ascending aorta
 - Vascular anomalies
 - Elderly hypertensive patients may have increased tortuosity of the radial and subclavian arteries
- Limited compatibility with larger (>2.0mm) Rotablator burrs or other large devices



Learning Curve

	<80 Patients	>80 Patients
Access failure	14%	2%
Sheath insertion time	10.2 ± 7.6 min	2.8 ± 2.5 min
Procedure time	25.7 ± 12.9 min	17.4 ± 4.7 min



Spaulding et al. Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn 39:365-70, 1996

New Guiding Catheter Technologies 7.5 Fr Sheathless Hydrophilic Guiding Catheter Smaller outer diameter than 6Fr sheath







Catheter external diameter: 2.49mm 6F Sheath external diameter: 2.62 mm



Mamas MA et al, CCI 2008;72:357–364

Contraindications?

Abnormal Allen test????

 However, it is now questioned by some operators No reports of hand ischemia/necrosis in more than 20 years
 Most reports from critical care and anesthesiology literature
 Harvesting radial arteries for CABG is safe

- Need for <u>right heart catheterization</u> is not an excuse for not using the radial approach
 - RHC can be performed via the antecubital vein (using a 5F 110 cm balloon-tipped catheter)

Raynaud's Syndrome, Dialysis



Oxymetry + Plethysmography





Oxymetry + Plethysmography

The clamp sensor is applied to the thumb





Barbeau et al. Am Heart J 2004;147:489–93

Rules Radial is Different than Femoral

Precise puncture & never push (finesse over muscle) Prophylactic antispasm medication is needed Verapamil 3 mg Anticoagulate to prevent (reduce) thrombosis Heparin 5000 U (80 U/Kg in lighter patients) Hold on to hard won territory (exchange wire or jetcatheter exchange technique) Find a catheter series that works for you (practice) makes perfect)

Remove the sheath at the end of the case



Cath Lab Digest A Product, News and Clinical Update for the Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory Specialist

ACCESS

SEPTEMBER 2009

Transradial Access at the University of Miami

Cath Lab Digest talks with Mauricio G. Cohen, MD, FACC, Director of the Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory, Joey Collazo, RCIS, Chief Technologist, Cardiac Cath Lab, and Kymberlee Manni, RCIS, PhD, Associate Vice President Cardiovascular Service Line Administrator University of Miami Hospital, the flagship facility of UHealth – University of Miami Health System, Miami, Florida

Dr. Mauricio Cohen has been performing transradial access procedures for approximately 5 years. After joining the University of Miami Hospital cath lab in January of 2009 as director, he instituted a transradial program.

What's your sense of how transradial access is utilized in Argentina?

I believe it is used slightly more than in the U.S., but not quite as much as in high-use countries like France, Canada, Spain or Sweden.

How often do you use transradial



include the presence of an occluded radial artery, usually secondary to a previous procedure; lack of radial pulse; hemodialysis with an arteriovenous (AV) fistula in the forearm, and an abnormal Allen's test. It is worth if we are unsuccessful, we will use a femoral approach.

How often do you find that you are converting to femoral access after beginning with a radial approach?































Right Heart Catheterization via Antecubital Vein



Right Heart Catheterization via Antecubital Vein



Right Heart Catheterization via Antecubital Vein



Conclusion

Bleeding is associated with worse outcomes
 Optimization of femoral access
 Transradial access
 Choice of anticoagulant
 Improve balance between bleeding and ischemia

